Chromophore-Labeled Dendrons as Light Harvesting Antennae

Gina M. Stewart and Marye Anne Fox*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712

Received November 29, 1995[⊗]

Abstract: A novel series of polyether dendrimer segments (dendrons) end-capped with pyrenyl, naphthyl, or methyl groups has been prepared by a convergent growth method. Steady-state fluorescence measurements indicate the absence of intramolecular naphthalene excimer in the naphthyl-capped dendrons. However, in the pyrenyl-capped dendrons, excimer emission predominates. Fluorescence from both the naphthyl monomer and pyrenyl excimer are quenched when a suitable electron donor (e.g., a 3-[dimethylamino]phenoxy group) is covalently attached at the dendron focal point. No sensitized emission from the dendron backbone is observed in the chromophore-labeled dendrons, although the control methyl-capped dendron fluorescence lifetimes for the chromophore-labeled dendrons are reported.

Introduction

In recent years, the study of directional energy transport and electron transfer in chromophore-labeled polymers and supramolecular arrays has been the focus of an ever-increasing number of reports.¹⁻⁵ Flexible random-coil polymers bearing electron donor-acceptor pairs exhibit low net efficiency for charge separation because of rapid back-electron transfer through a contact ion pair.⁵ In contrast, block copolymers incorporating linear, rigid backbones permit singlet energy migration to the block interface, where exciplex formation takes place, thus functioning as an energy trap.⁶ The unusual molecular architecture of dendritic polymers provides a suitable framework for the support of redox-active functionalities since: (1) the spherical shape of these highly branched polymers inhibits the chain entanglement that occurs in many linear polymers;^{7,8} (2)the solubilities of dendrimers in organic solvents far exceed those of linear polymers of similar composition and molecular weight;⁷ and (3) the synthetic methodology in which the dendron is assembled, one layer or generation at a time,⁹ allows for controlled placement of a series of two or more functional groups to produce a thermodynamic driving force gradient for sequential photoinduced electron transfers. A dendrimer architecture also permits variation of the number and ratio of donor and acceptor functionalities and allows for the insertion of a defined number of spacer units between the redox-active chromophores. Although the dendrons reported here are probably too small to adopt the spherical conformation for which dendrimers are known, this study provides valuable information about the suitability of the dendrimer backbone for electronic communication of appended chromophores. The controlled placement of chromophores made possible by the stepwise

- (5) Webber, S. E. Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 1469.
- (6) (a) Watkins, D. M.; Fox, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116,
- 6441. (b) Fox, H. H.; Fox, M. A. *Macromolecules* **1995**, *28*, 4570. (7) Fréchet, J. M. J. *Science* **1994**, *263*, 1710.

(8) Fréchet, J. M. J.; Hawker, C. J.; Wooley, K. L. Pure Appl. Chem. 1994, A31, 1627.

(9) Hawker, C. J.; Fréchet, J. M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7638.

synthetic scheme is of course advantageous in these early generation dendrons.

A prototypical dendron for our study has aryl chromophores (acting as electron acceptors C_1) at the periphery and an energetically suitable electron donor at the growth focal point. Photoexcitation of the acceptor chromophores should lead to electron transfer from the donor moiety C_2 through the dendrimer backbone to the outer array of acceptors, eq 1. The separation between the benzyl-capped chain ends and an esterterminated focal point for a Fréchet-type second generation polybenzyl ether dendron as determined by a single-crystal structure is about 14 Å.¹⁰ This measurement gives us an approximation of the C1-C2 separation for the chromophorelabeled second generation dendrons reported here. In the current work, we sought to characterize the interactions between the appended aryl chromophores and to determine whether their excited states could be guenched by a covalently bound donor present on the same dendrimer segment. To the best of our knowledge, this work represents the first photophysical study of electron donor-acceptor pairs covalently attached to a dendritic architectural skeleton.



Results and Discussion

Synthesis. First, second, and third generation polyether dendrons bearing aryl functionalities such as substituted naph-thalene or pyrene at the periphery have been synthesized. The naphthyl-capped dendrons were produced by condensing 2-bro-momethylnaphthalene with 3,5-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol in the presence of potassium carbonate and 18-crown-6 ether in refluxing acetone to give the bis(naphthylether) benzyl alcohol **1a**. This alcohol was then converted to the corresponding

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, April 15, 1996.

⁽¹⁾ Fox, M. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 569.

⁽²⁾ Balzani, V. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 10443.

⁽³⁾ Fox, M. A.; Watkins, D. M.; Jones, W. E., Jr. Chem. Eng. News 1993, 38.

⁽⁴⁾ Wasielewski, M. R. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 435.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Schlüter, A.-D.; Claussen, W.; Amoulong-Kirstein, E.; Karakaya, B. In American Chemican Society Division of Polymeric Materials: Science and Engineering; Chicago, 1995; pp 226–227.

Chart 1





5c R = 1-pyrenyl, X = 3-(dimethylamino)phenoxy

bromide **1b** by treatment with carbon tetrabromide and triphenylphosphine in tetrahydrofuran (THF). Subsequent generations **3a** and **3b** were built by repetition of this two-step reaction scheme. The methoxy-capped dendrons **3e** and **3f**, lacking absorptive aryl groups at their periphery, serve as controls in the photophysical experiments: they were similarly synthesized from 3,5-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol.

Condensation of 2 equiv of 1-bromomethylpyrene with 3,5dihydroxybenzyl alcohol proceeded in extremely poor yield, presumably because of steric hindrance. However, a condensation of 1 equiv of 1-bromomethylpyrene with 3-hydroxybenzyl alcohol proceeded cleanly and in high yield to give 2c. The corresponding series of naphthyl-functionalized dendrons 2a, 2b, and 4a were synthesized for comparison. The subsequent

 Table 1.
 Absorption and Emission Data for Naphthyl- and Methoxy-Capped Dendrons Excited at 284 nm^a

compd	$(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\mathrm{M}^{-1})^b$	$\lambda_{\rm em} ({\rm nm})^b$	$\Phi_{ m f}{}^b$	$\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}{}^{c}$
2-naphthalenemethanol	3000	335	0.17	0.069
1a	9800	290, 335	0.014	0.021
2a	7600	292, 335	0.057	0.059
3a	19 000	290, 335	0.020	0.028
3d		290, 335	0.0064	0.025
3e	5500	310	0.0058	0.0099
3g	8200	312, 354	0.0056^{d}	0.0067^{d}
4a	12 000	290, 335	0.064	0.063

^{*a*} Measured as $\sim 10^{-7}$ M degassed solutions (except extinction coefficient determinations). ^{*b*} In acetonitrile. ^{*c*} In dichloromethane. ^{*d*} Includes fluorescence at 354 nm (attributed to [dimethylamino]phenoxy group).

 Table 2.
 Absorption and Emission Data for

 Pyrenyl-Functionalized Dendrons Excited at 344 nm^a

	ϵ				
compd	$(\rm cm^{-1} M^{-1})^b$	$\lambda_{\rm em} ({\rm nm})^b$	$\Phi_{ m f}{}^b$	$\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}{}^{c}$	$\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}{}^d$
1-pyrenemethanol	38 000	375, 395, 415. ^e 435 ^e	0.011	0.17	0.22
2c	44 000	375, 395, 415, ^e 435 ^e	0.097	0.24	0.34
4c	53 000	480	0.18	0.34	0.30
4d	62 000	480	0.025	0.15	0.079
5a	$70\ 000^{d}$	480	0.17^{f}	0.38	0.23
5c	$92\ 000^d$	480	0.047^{f}	0.31	0.18

^{*a*} Measured as $\sim 10^{-6}$ M degassed solutions (except extinction coeffient determinations). ^{*b*} In acetonitrile. ^{*c*} In dichloromethane. ^{*d*} In tetrahydrofuran. ^{*c*} Shoulder. ^{*f*} **5a** and **5c** are not very soluble in acetonitrile. Therefore, these quantum yields are approximate.

reactions of the dendron-building sequence are unchanged for the pyrenyl- and naphthyl-capped series.

The (dimethylamino)phenoxy-terminated dendron 3d was produced as a clear glass by condensation of 3b with 3-dimethylaminophenol in the presence of K₂CO₃ and 18-crown-6 ether in acetone. The (dimethylamino)phenoxy group was also used to terminate the methoxy- and pyrenyl-capped dendrons to give 3g, 4d, and 5c, which were all obtained as white or pale yellow solids. Computer-generated models using Cache software indicate a through-space separation of the pyrenyl groups from the (dimethylamino)phenoxy groups of approximately 15 Å in 4d and approximately 22 Å in 5c. The triethylamino quencher-labeled dendrons 1c and 3c were prepared by functionalization at the dendrimer growth focal point by treating diethylaminoethanol with sodium hydride before adding the appropriate dendron 1b or 3b. The triethylamino substituted dendrons 1c and 3c were obtained as oils and were inseparable from minor residual impurities.

Photophysical Properties. All dendrons were studied either as the alcohols (without a donor) or as the amino-terminated species. Steady-state emission spectra of the naphthyl-capped and methoxy-capped dendrons are summarized in Table 1, as are steady-state emission spectra of the pyrenyl-capped dendrons in Table 2. The methoxy-capped dendrons **3e** and **3g** were used as probes for fluorescence of a polyether dendron lacking aryl chromophores at the chain ends. The second-generation alcohol **3e** fluoresces weakly with a very broad signal at 310 nm when excited at 284 nm (Figure 1). No fluorescence quenching by the covalently attached 3-(dimethylamino)phenoxy group in **3g** was observed. Instead, the 3-(dimethylamino)phenoxy group (which absorbs 284-nm light) competitively absorbs the excitation pulse and fluorescens at 355 nm.

In the naphthyl-capped series consisting of **1a**, **3a**, and **3d**, intermolecular excimer formation was observed only in solutions



Figure 1. Steady-state fluorescence spectra of (a) 3e and (b) 3g in degassed acetonitrile ($<10^{-5}$ M); $\lambda_{ex} = 284$ nm.



Figure 2. Steady-state fluorescence spectra of (a) 3a and (b) 3c in degassed acetonitrile ($<10^{-5}$ M); $\lambda_{ex} = 266$ nm.

with concentrations greater than 10⁻⁶ M; dilution to concentrations below 5×10^{-7} M eliminated the excimer signal. Steadystate fluorescence spectra for naphthyl-capped dendrons 1a, 2a, 3a, and 4a (both mono- and disubstituted at the chain ends) show strong typical naphthalene fluorescence centered at 335 nm (Figure 2), plus a minor (always <5%) fluorescence at 290 nm. The fluorescence quantum yields (referenced to naphthalene in cyclohexane¹¹) for the disubstituted naphthyl-capped dendrons 1a and 3a are 1-7% in acetonitrile or dichloromethane. The fluorescence yields for the monosubstituted naphthalene dendrons 2a and 4a are several times greater than the fluorescence yields of corresponding disubstituted dendrons 1a and 3a in acetonitrile, most likely due to self-quenching by nonradiative pathways. Therefore, in order to maximize dendrimer fluorescence yield it is desirable to use the lesssubstituted dendrons. This decrease of fluorescence intensity upon polymerization has been observed frequently.⁶

Stern–Volmer bimolecular quenching of **3a** with diethylaminoethanol in acetonitrile gave a quenching constant k_q of $6 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This k_q is somewhat slower than a typical diffusion-controlled quench ($k_q = 1.9 \times 10^{10}$ in acetonitrile),¹² as has been previously reported for the quenching of naphthalene by aliphatic amines.¹³ Intramolecular fluorescence quenching in acetonitrile is observed for **1c** compared to **1a** and for **3c** compared to **3a**. When the donor was changed to a 3-(dimethylamino)phenoxy group in **3d**, 70% intramolecular quenching was observed in acetonitrile (Figure 2). The driving force for photoinduced electron transfer from dimethylamiline to the lowest singlet excited state of naphthalene is 940 mV.¹⁴

The first generation pyrenyl-capped dendron 2c exhibits typical pyrene fluorescence (Figure 3) when excited at 344 nm.¹⁵





Figure 3. Steady-state fluorescence spectra of (a) 2c, (b) 4b, (c) 4d, and (d) 1-pyrenemethanol in degassed acetonitrile ($<10^{-5}$ M); $\lambda_{ex} =$ 344 nm.

Emission from 4b, a second generation dendron bearing two pyrenyl groups, is dominated by intramolecular excimer fluorescence (~97%, Figure 3). The emission of 5a (not shown) also shows predominant excimer fluorescence. Ground state stacking of the pyrenyl groups is probably not responsible, as the absorption spectra of 4b and 5a show no observable differences from pyrene itself.

The driving force for intermolecular electron transfer from dimethylaniline to the lowest singlet excited state pyrene is 480 mV,¹⁶ and about 250 mV for electron transfer to pyrene excimer, which is stabilized by 4 kcal/mol (at room temperature) compared to singlet pyrene.¹⁷ Stern-Volmer bimolecular quenching of 4b by 3-dimethylaminophenol in acetonitrile revealed a k_q of 1 \times 10¹⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, indicating diffusioncontrolled quenching with no evident exciplex formation. Stern-Volmer bimolecular quenching of 5a by 3-(dimethylamino)phenol in THF resulted in a k_q of 4 \times 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. As the integrated intensity of the excimer emission from 4b (from 420-580 nm) decreased with the addition of each aliquot of the quencher solution, the relative emission intensity from singlet pyrene (in the region 370-400 nm) increased. Thus, the excimer is more efficiently quenched than is the singlet excited state, most likely because a larger solvent reorganization is required in the production of a charge-separated species from a relatively nonpolar precursor (i.e., the singlet excited state) than from a more polar precursor (i.e., the excimer). Pyrene excimer has a dipole moment of 2.5 D.¹⁸ Pyrene excimer emission also was preferentially quenched over pyrene singlet emission for bimolecular quenching of 5a by 3-(dimethylamino)phenol in THF.

Dilution studies of **4d** and **5c** revealed a linear relationship between fluorescence intensity and concentration (from 10^{-7} to 10^{-6} M), which is consistent with intramolecular fluorescence quenching. Further evidence for intramolecular quenching is found by comparing the intensities of emission steady-state fluorescence spectra of the quencher capped dendrons **4d** and **5c** with the spectra of the corresponding alcohol-terminated dendrons **4b** and **5a**. In the most dramatic case, the strong excimer fluorescence of **4b** in acetonitrile is quenched by almost

⁽¹¹⁾ Eaton, D. F. Pure Appl. Chem. 1988, 60, 1107.

⁽¹²⁾ Kavarnos, G. J. Fundamentals of Photoinduced Electron Transfer, VCH Publishers: New York, 1993.

⁽¹³⁾ Meeus, F.; Van der Auweraer, M.; De Schryver, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, *102*, 4017.

⁽¹⁴⁾ The driving force was calculated using $\Delta G = E(\mathbf{D}^{\bullet+}/\mathbf{D}) - E(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}^{\bullet+}) - E_{00}(\mathbf{S})$, where $E(\mathbf{D}^{\bullet+}/\mathbf{D}) = 0.81$ V for *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (vs SCE in CH₃CN), $E(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}^{\bullet+}) = -2.29$ V for naphthalene (vs SCE in CH₃CN), and $E_{00}(\mathbf{S}) = 92$ kcal/mol, or 3.99 V for naphthalene: Kavarnos, G. J.; Turro, N. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1986**, 86, 401.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Förster, T.; Kasper, K. Z. Physik. Chem. N. F. 1954, 1, 275.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Schoburg, M.; Staerk, M.; Weller, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1973, 22, 1.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Birks, J. B. *Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1970.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Gosh, A. S.; Basu, S. J. Photochem. 1974, 3, 247.

Table 3. Excimer Quenching of Pyrenyl-Capped Dendrons as a Function of Solvent

	$\Phi_{ m f}\left({ m Q} ight)\!/\Phi_{ m f}\left(0 ight)^a$			
dendron	in CH ₂ Cl ₂	in THF	in CH ₃ CN	
4d 50	60 20	80 50	90 70d	
30	20	50	70-	

^{*a*} Ratio of excimer fluorescence yield in the donor-appended dendron to that observed in the analogous dendron lacking the attached donor. ^{*b*} 4d is compared with 4a. ^{*c*} 5c is compared with 5a. ^{*d*} 5a and 5c are not very soluble in acetonitrile. Therefore, this percent quenching is approximate.

Table 4. Fluorescence Lifetimes for Monomer and Excimer in Pyrenyl-Substituted Dendrons^a

compd	τ (ns) 380 nm	au (ns) 480 nm growth ^b	au (ns) 480 nm decay ^b	au(ns) 480 nm growth ^c	au (ns) 480 nm decay ^c
1-pyrenemethanol	325				
4a	298	2.8	59	17	62
4d		1.3	23	4.7	41
5a				9.3	60
5c				4.9	48

^{*a*} Dilute solutions (~10⁻⁶ M) were bubble degassed with argon. λ_{ex} = 355 nm. ^{*b*} In acetonitrile. ^{*c*} In THF.

90% in **4d** (Figure 3). Quenching was always less efficient in the third generation dendron than in the second generation for each set of conditions studied. The decreased ratio of donor to acceptors (and hence the effective local quencher concentration) and the increased separation of the donor and acceptors on electron transfer are reflected in the diminished quenching in the third generation.

Table 3 shows solvent effects on excimer quenching for **4b** compared to **4d** and for **5a** compared to **5c**. The alcoholterminated dendrons were used as standards because their fluorescence intensity varies with solvent. For both sets of dendrons, the quenching efficiency increases with increasing solvent polarity ($CH_2Cl_2 < THF < CH_3CN$), as would be anticipated if a polar, coordinating solvent were to help stabilize the transition state leading to the charge-separated product, thus enhancing the observed steady-state fluorescence quenching efficiency.

Fluorescence lifetimes of monomer (380 nm) and excimer (480 nm) components of 1-pyrenemethanol, **4b**, **4d**, **5a**, and **5c** obtained with single photon counting techniques are listed in Table 4. Singlet emission of both 1-pyrenemethanol and **4b** in acetonitrile can be described by a single exponential decay with lifetimes of approximately 300 ns. In **4b**, **4d**, **5a**, and **5c**, the excimer emission grows in and then decays, and is best described by a biexponential equation¹⁹

$$A \xrightarrow{k_1} B \xrightarrow{k_2} C$$
$$[B] = \frac{k_1[A]_0}{k_2 - k_1} (e^{-k_1 t} - e^{-k_2 t})$$

when $[B]_0 = 0; \quad k_1 = 1/\tau_1; \quad k_2 = 1/\tau_2$

where $\tau_1 = \text{rise lifetime}; \quad \tau_2 = \text{decay lifetime}$

where A = singlet pyrene, B = pyrene excimer, and C = ground state pyrene (in the case of **4b**) or the pyrene radical anion attained by intramolecular electron transfer (in the case of **4d**). The excimer signal reaches its maximum intensity 5 ns after

the excitation flash, which is comparable to intramolecular pyrene excimer formation in simple model systems such as 1,3di(1-pyrenyl)propane.²⁰ Intramolecular quenching of excimer or locally excited pyrene is evident in the shortened decay lifetime of **4d** compared to that of **4b** (in both acetonitrile and THF) and of **5c** compared to that of **5a**. A transient absorption experiment of **4d** in acetonitrile shows evidence for the appearance of a pyrenyl radical anion absorbance at 700 nm,²¹ as is consistent with electron transfer as a possible quenching mode. Strong coupling throughout the dendron skeleton, both through-bond and through-space, would be expected by virtue of the small energy gap between the HOMOs of alkoxyaniline and of dialkoxybenzene.

Conclusions

A methoxy-capped model of the dendrimer backbone 3e fluoresces weakly at 310 nm when excited at 284 nm. No quenching of dendrimer backbone fluorescence in the model compound 3g by a covalently-attached (dimethylamino)phenoxy electron donor group was observed. Sensitized backbone fluorescence was not detected in the emission spectra of any naphthyl- or pyrenyl-capped dendron in these studies.

Steady-state fluorescence spectra of naphthyl-capped dendrons (both mono- and disubstituted at the chain ends) provide no evidence of intramolecular naphthalene excimer in dilute solution, although intermolecular excimer does form in more concentrated solutions. Naphthyl-capped dendrons with skeletons **1** and **3** had lower fluorescence quantum yields than those with skeletons **2** and **4**, possibly because of competing nonradiative self-quenching. Intramolecular quenching of the fluorescence of the peripheral naphthyl groups by a covalently attached electron donor (such as a triethylamino or [dimethylamino]phenoxy group) has been demonstrated as a highly efficient process.

Pyrene excimer emission dominates the observed fluorescence spectrum for all pyrenyl-capped dendrons of generation two or greater. The pyrene excimer fluorescence is quenched more effectively than the pyrene singlet fluorescence upon bimolecular quenching with 3-(dimethylamino)phenol. Intramolecular quenching in dendrons bearing covalently attached electron donor functionalities has been demonstrated through steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence measurements and is attributed to intramolecular photoinduced electron transfer. The amount of quenching observed is a function of dendrimer generation number and of solvent polarity.

Together, these results suggest substantial electronic coupling between appended chromophores and quenchers across the dendrimer framework, affirming the possibility that these chromophore-labeled dendrons can be used as molecular light harvesters. Experiments to study energy transfer in chromophore-labeled dendrons are under way.

Experimental Section

All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich and were used as received unless otherwise indicated. 1-Pyrenemethanol and 1-bromomethylpyrene were synthesized by literature methods.^{22,23} Acetone

⁽¹⁹⁾ Steinfeld, J. I.; Francisco, J. S.; Hase, W. L. Chemical Kinetics and Dynamics; Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1989.

⁽²⁰⁾ For example, see: (a) Zachariasse, K. A.; Kühnle, W.; Leinhos, U.; Reynders, P.; Striker, G. J. Phys. Chem. **1991**, 95, 5476. (b) Goedeweeck, R.; Ruttens, F.; Lopez-Arbeloa, F.; De Schryver, F. C. Biopolymers **1987**, 26, 1833. (c) Collart, P.; Demeyer, K.; Toppet, S.; De Schryver, F. C. Macromolecular **1983**, 16, 1390. (d) Collart, P.; Toppet, S.; Zhou, Q. F.; Boens, N.; De Schryver, F. C. Macromolecules **1985**, 18, 1026.

⁽²¹⁾ Shida, T. *Electronic Absorption Spectra of Radical Ions*; Elsevier: New York, 1988.

⁽²²⁾ Akiyama, S.; Nakasuji, K.; Nakagawa, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1971, 44, 2231.

was distilled from potassium carbonate. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium chloride. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled from benzophenone ketyl. Potassium carbonate was stored in a 130 °C oven. 3-(Dimethylamino)phenol was purified by column chromatography, followed by recrystallization from dichloromethane/hexanes. All column chromatography was performed on silica gel. All solutions were studied at an OD of ~0.03 to ~0.10. Single photon counting experiments were conducted at the Center for Fast Kinetics Research in Austin and were analyzed using Kaleidograph software.

Fluorescence quantum yields of all pyrene-labeled dendrons and of 1-pyrenemethanol were determined by reference to 9,10-diphenylanthracene in cyclohexane (Table 2).¹¹ Dendrons **5a** and **5c** were only sparingly soluble in acetonitrile, so the fluorescence quantum yields for these compounds in acetonitrile should only be taken as approximations.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis-(2-naphthylmethyloxy)benzyl Alcohol [1a]. 2-Bromomethylnaphthalene (2.2 g, 10 mmol), 3,5-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol (640 mg, 4.5 mmol), anhydrous K₂CO₃ (1.6 g, 11 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (240 mg, 0.91 mmol) were placed in dry acetone (40 mL) and heated at reflux under Ar for 56 h, before being stirred at room temperature for another 12 h. Acetone was removed in vacuo, and the remaining solid was taken up in CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL) and then washed with distilled water (3 \times 50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH2Cl2 (25 mL), and the combined organics were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Recrystallization from hot toluene/hexanes gave a white crystalline product in 69% yield, mp 94-95 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.83–7.97 (m, 8 H), 7.47–7.54 (m, 6 H), 6.68 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.64 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.22 (s, 4 H), 4.65 (s, 2 H), 2.36 (s, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.2, 143.5, 134.3, 133.3, 133.1, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 126.3, 126.2, 126.1, 125.3, 105.9, 101.5, 70.3, 65.3. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₂₉H₂₄O₃, 420.1725; found, 420.1716.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis-(2-naphthylmethyloxy)benzyl Bromide [1b]. Carbon tetrabromide (1.6 g, 4.9 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 1a (1.6 g, 3.9 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) under Ar. After 5 min stirring, triphenylphosphine (1.4 g, 5.3 mmol) was added, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between water (50 mL) and CH2Cl2 (20 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (3 \times 100 mL), and the combined aqueous solutions were extracted once with CH2Cl2. The combined CH₂Cl₂ solutions were dried over MgSO₄, filtered through Celite, and concentrated in vacuo. Recrystallized from a mixture of ether, methanol, and hexanes gave a white solid in 23-48% yield, mp 108-109 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.88-7.83 (m, 8 H), 7.54-7.45 (m, 6 H), 6.70 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.64 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.20 (s, 4 H), 4.43 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.1, 139.8, 134.1, 133.3, 133.1, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 126.4, 126.3, 126.1, 125.3, 108.3, 102.3, 70.3, 33.5. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₂₉H₂₃O₂Br, 482.0881; found, 482.0883.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3,5-bis-(2-naphthylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyl Alcohol [3a]. A suspension of 1b (530 mg, 1.1 mmol), 3,5dihydroxybenzyl alcohol (70 mg, 0.5 mmol), anhydrous K2CO3 (170 mg, 1.3 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (26 mg, 0.10 mmol) in dry acetone (10 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 45 h. Acetone was removed in vacuo, and the remaining solid was taken up in CH2Cl2 (2 \times 20 mL) and then washed with distilled water (3 \times 20 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash column chromatography in CH₂Cl₂ to give a fluffy white solid in 62% yield, mp 65-70 °C. ¹H NMR in CDCl₃: δ 7.93-7.80 (m, 16 H), 7.52-7.46 (m, 12 H), 6.72 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 4 H), 6.66 (m, 2 H), 6.51 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 2 H), 6.57 (m, 1 H), 5.20 (s, 8 H), 4.99 (s, 4 H), 4.57 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.2, 160.1, 143.4, 139.4, 134.3, 133.3, 133.1, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 126.4, 126.2, 126.1, 125.3, 106.5, 105.8, 101.8, 101.4, 70.28, 69.97, 65.29. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₆₅H₅₂O₇, 944.3713; found, 944.3711.

(23) Okamoto, H.; Arai, T.; Sakuragi, H.; Tokumaru, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1990, 63, 2881.

(24) Reimann, E. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1971, 750, 109.

(25) Pitt, C. G.; Seltzman, H. H.; Sayed, Y.; Twine, C. E., Jr.; Williams, D. L. J. Org. Chem. **1979**, 44, 677.

(26) Sargent, M. V.; Stanojevic, E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1984, 1919.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3,5-bis-(2-naphthylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyl Bromide [3b]. Carbon tetrabromide (130 mg, 0.40 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 3a (120 mg, 0.13 mmol) in dry THF (1 mL) under Ar. After 5 min stirring, triphenylphosphine (140 mg, 0.55 mmol) was added, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between water (3 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with another 10 mL water, and the combined aqueous solutions were extracted with CH2- Cl_2 (2 × 10 mL). The combined dichloromethane portions were dried over MgSO₄, filtered through Celite, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash column chromatography in 20% ethyl acetate:80% hexanes increasing to 40% ethyl acetate:60% hexanes; the eluent was then dissolved in a minimum amount of CH2Cl2 and added dropwise to a mixture of equal parts ether and hexanes. The precipitated white solid was collected on a glass frit to give the desired product in 50-80% yield, mp 120-124 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.86-7.81 (m, 16 H), 7.52–7.46 (m, 12 H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 4 H), 6.66 (m, 2 H), 6.59 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 2 H), 6.51 (m, 1 H), 5.20 (s, 8 H), 4.96 (s, 4 H), 4.36 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.2, 159.9, 139.7, 139.2, 134.3, 133.3, 133.1, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 126.4, 126.2, 126.1, 125.3, 108.3, 106.5, 102.2, 101.9, 70.30, 70.05, 33.55. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₆₅H₅₁O₆Br, 1007.2947; found, 1007.2938.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3,5-bis-(2-naphthylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyl (3-N,N-Dimethylaminophenyl) Ether [3d]. A suspension of 3-(dimethylamino)phenol (62 mg, 0.45 mmol), K₂CO₃ (87 mg, 0.63 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (24 mg, 0.090 mmol) in dry acetone (25 mL) was heated at reflux for 0.5 h before neat **3b** (500 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h and then stirred at room temperature for 16 h. Acetone was removed in vacuo, and the product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 20 mL) before being washed with water $(2 \times 75 \text{ mL})$ and then brine (100 mL). Solvent was removed in vacuo. The product, a clear glass, was isolated in 95% yield upon column chromatography in CH₂Cl₂. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.86-7.79 (m, 16 H), 7.53-7.45 (m, 12 H), 7.16-7.11 (m, 1 H), 6.74-6.73 (m, 4 H), 6.68-6.65 (m, 4H), 6.55-6.54 (m, 1 H), 6.38-6.33 (m, 3 H), 5.20 (s, 8 H), 4.98 (s, 4 H), 4.96 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.2, 160.0, 159.9, 152.0, 139.9, 139.4, 134.3, 133.3, 133.1, 129.7, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 126.4, 126.2, 126.0, 125.3, 106.5, 106.5, 106.0, 102.3, 101.8, 101.5, 100.1, 70.28, 70.00, 69.84, 40.55. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₇₃H₆₁NO₇, 1063.4448; found, 1063.4424.

Preparation of 3,5-Dimethoxybenzyl Bromide [1e]. Carbon tetrabromide (12 g, 37 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (9.8 g, 37 mmol) were added to a solution of 3,5-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol (5.0 g, 30 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) under Ar. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of water (100 mL). After having been stirred for 10 min, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL) and was concentrated *in vacuo*. Isolation by column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate: 80% hexanes) gave an off-white powder, which was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/hexane in 61% yield, mp 69–70 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.54 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.40–6.39 (m, 1 H), 4.42 (s, 2 H), 3.80 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.9, 139.7, 107.0, 100.6, 55.38, 33.56. HRMS (*m*/z): calcd. for C₉H₁₂O₂Br, 231.0021; found, 231.0022.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3,5-(dimethoxy)benzyloxy]benzyl Alcohol [3e]. A suspension of 3,5-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol (1.0 g, 7.3 mmol), K₂CO₃ (2.5 g, 18 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (390 mg, 1.5 mmol) in dry acetone (180 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 0.5 h before neat **1e** (3.7 g, 16 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 42 h before acetone was removed *in vacuo*. The product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 75 mL) and washed with water (2 × 200 mL) and then with brine (250 mL). Removal of solvent *in vacuo* gave a white solid, isolated in 88% yield upon column chromatography in CH₂Cl₂, mp 83–86 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.62 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 2 H), 6.57 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 4 H), 6.54 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.41 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2 H), 4.98 (s, 4 H), 4.63 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.80 (s, 12 H), 1.61 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 161.0, 160.1, 143.4, 139.2, 105.8, 105.2, 101.4, 99.93, 70.03, 65.28, 55.35. HRMS (*m*/z): calcd. for C₂₅H₂₈O₇, 440.1835; found, 440.1819.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3,5-(dimethoxy)benzyloxy]benzyl Bromide [**3f**]. Carbon tetrabromide (2.38 g, 7.18 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (1.88 g, 7.18 mmol) were added to a solution of **3e** (2.53 g, 5.74 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) under Ar. After 2 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of water (100 mL). After having been stirred for 30 min, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 100 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (200 mL) and was concentrated *in vacuo*. The product was isolated by column chromatography (50% CH₂Cl₂: 50% hexanes) as a white powder in 83% yield, mp 127–129 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.63 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.57 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 4 H), 6.54 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 4.97 (s, 2 H), 4.41 (s, 2 H), 3.80 (s, 12 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.0, 160.0, 139.8, 139.0, 108.2, 105.6, 102.3, 100.0, 70.14, 55.36, 33.52. HRMS (*m*/*z*): calcd. for C₂₅H₂₇O₆Br, 502.0991; found, 502.0985.

Preparation of 3.5-Bis[3.5-(dimethoxy)benzyloxy]benzyl (3-N.N-Dimethylaminophenyl) Ether [3g]. A suspension of 3-(dimethylamino)phenol (110 mg, 0.80 mmol), K₂CO₃ (170 mg, 1.3 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (42 mg, 0.16 mmol) in dry acetone (25 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 1 h before neat 3f (500 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h and then stirred at room temperature for 16 h before acetone was removed in vacuo. The product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and then washed with water (150 mL) and then brine (150 mL). Removal of solvent in vacuo a clear glass, isolated in 69% yield by column chromatography in 50% CH2Cl2:50% hexanes increasing to 100% CH2-Cl₂. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.14 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (s, 2 H), 6.58-6.56 (m, 4 H), 6.42-6.33 (m, 6 H), 4.98 (s, 6 H), 3.80 (s, 12 H), 2.93 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 161.0, 160.1, 159.9, 152.0, 139.9, 139.2, 127.9, 106.5, 106.0, 105.3, 102.3, 101.6, 100.1, 100.0, 70.09, 69.86, 55.36, 40.57. HRMS (*m/z*): calcd. for C₃₃H₃₇NO₇, 559.2570; found, 559.2571.

Preparation of 3-(2-Naphthylmethyloxy)benzyl Alcohol [2a]. A solution of 3-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (1.6 g, 13 mmol), anhydrous K₂-CO₃ (2.1 g, 15 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (68 mg, 2.6 mmol) in dry acetone (25 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 30 min, and then neat 2-bromomethylnaphthalene (3.1 g, 14 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 16 h before acetone was removed in vacuo. The product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (300 mL) and washed with water $(2 \times 200 \text{ mL})$ and then with brine (300 mL). Removal of solvent in vacuo followed by flash column chromatography in CH2Cl2 gave a white solid in 78% yield, mp 106-108 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.89-7.83 (m, 4 H), 7.55-7.46 (m, 3 H), 7.31-7.25 (m, 1 H), 7.07, (s, 1 H), 6.97-6.94 (m, 2 H), 5.25 (s, 2 H), 4.68 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.63 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 159.1, 142.6, 134.5, 133.3, 133.1, 129.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 126.3, 126.2, 126.1, 125.2, 119.4, 114.2, 113.4, 70.14, 65.28. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₁₈H₁₆O₂, 264.1150; found, 264.1137.

Preparation of 3-(2-Naphthyloxy)benzyl Bromide [2b]. Carbon tetrabromide (4.2 g, 13 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (3.3 g, 13 mmol) were added to a solution of **2a** (2.6 g, 10 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) under Ar. After 0.5 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of water (40 mL). After having been stirred for 10 min, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 25 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), concentrated *in vacuo*, and purified by column chromatography (30% CH₂Cl₂:70% hexanes to 50% CH₂Cl₂:50% hexanes) to give a white powder in 86% yield, mp 105–106 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.89–7.84 (m, 4 H), 7.56–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.24 (m, 1 H), 7.07 (s, 1 H), 7.02–6.94 (m, 2 H), 5.24 (s, 2 H), 4.47 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 159.0, 139.2, 134.2, 133.3, 133.1, 129.9, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 126.4, 126.3, 126.1, 125.2, 121.6, 115.6, 115.0, 70.23, 33.41. HRMS (*m*/*z*): calcd. for C₁₈H₁₅OBr, 326.0306; found, 326.0303.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3-(1-naphthyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyl Alcohol [4a]. A suspension of 3,5-dimethyoxybenzyl alcohol (486 mg, 3.47 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.20 g, 8.68 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (180 mg, 0.69 mmol) in dry acetone (150 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 1 h, and then neat **2b** (2.50 g, 7.64 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 41 h. Acetone was removed *in vacuo*. The product was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 100 mL) and then washed with water (2 × 150 mL) and brine (250 mL). Removal of solvent *in vacuo* and column chromatography in CH₂Cl₂ gave a colorless glass in 75% yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.89–7.84 (m, 8 H), 7.56–7.48 (m, 6 H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.13 (s, 2 H), 7.04–6.97 (m, 4 H), 6.62 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 2 H), 6.56 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.23 (s, 4 H), 5.02 (s, 4 H), 4.60 (s, 2 H), 2.38 (s, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.1, 159.1, 143.4, 138.5, 134.4, 133.3, 133.0, 129.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 126.3,

126.2, 126.0, 125.2, 119.9, 114.4, 113.9, 105.7, 101.3, 69.87, 65.21. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₄₃H₃₆O₅, 633.2641; found, 633.2619.

Preparation of 3-(1-Pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyl Alcohol [2c]. A suspension of 3-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (120 mg, 1.0 mmol), anhydrous K₂CO₃ (260 mg, 1.2 mmol), 18-crown-6 ether (28 mg, 0.20 mmol), and 1-bromomethylpyrene^{22,23} (350 mg, 1.2 mmol) in dry acetone (25 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 22 h before acetone was removed in vacuo. The remaining solid was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 20 mL), and the resulting solution was extracted with distilled water (3 \times 20 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine and concentrated in vacuo. Upon column chromatography in CH₂Cl₂ a pale yellow solid was obtained in 96% yield, mp 117–119 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.31–8.00 (m, 9.5 H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (s, 1 H), 7.16– 6.99 (m, 2 H), 5.75 (s, 2 H), 4.70 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.73 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 142.7, 131.6, 131.2, 130.7, 129.7, 129.3, 128.0, 127.6, 127.4, 126.8, 126.0, 125.4, 125.4, 124.9, 124.7, 124.6, 123.0, 119.5, 114.3, 114.3, 113.4, 68.76, 65.26. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₂₄H₁₈O₂, 338.1307; found, 338.1304.

Preparation of 3-(1-Pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyl Bromide [2d]. Carbon tetrabromide (1.9 g, 5.7 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (1.5 g, 5.7 mmol) were added to a solution of **2c** (1.5 g, 4.5 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) under Ar. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of water (20 mL). After 30 min stirring, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (50 mL) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (20% CH₂Cl₂:80% hexanes to 50% CH₂Cl₂:50% hexanes) yielded a pale yellow solid in 96% yield, mp 110–112 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.30–8.00 (m, 9 H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (s, 1 H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 5.73 (s, 2 H), 4.50 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 159.1, 139.3, 131.6, 131.2, 130.7, 129.9, 129.5, 129.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.4, 126.9, 126.0, 125.4, 125.4, 124.9, 124.6, 124.6, 123.0, 121.7, 115.6, 115.1, 68.83, 33.42. HRMS (*m*/*z*): calcd. for C₂₄H₁₇OBr, 400.0463; found, 400.0472.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3-(1-pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyl Alcohol [4b]. A suspension of 3,5-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol (640 mg, 4.6 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.6 g, 11 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (240 mg, 0.91 mmol) in acetone (180 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 30 min before neat 2d (4.0 g, 10 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 96 h. Acetone was removed in vacuo. The product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 75 mL), and the resulting solution was extracted with distilled water (3 \times 150 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (50 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a pale yellow solid. Column chromatography in CH₂Cl₂ gave a pale beige powder (55%), mp 77-79 °C, and 1.45 g of recovered **2d.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.31–7.98 (m, 18 H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.19 (s, 2 H), 7.07–7.03 (m, 4 H), 6.60 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.54 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 (s, 4 H), 5.04 (s, 4 H), 4.59 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2 H) (benzyl alcohol proton signal was obscured by water). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl₃): *δ* 160.1, 159.2, 143.4, 138.6, 131.6, 131.2, 130.7, 129.8, 129.7, 129.3, 128.0, 127.6, 127.4, 126.9, 126.0, 125.4, 125.4, 124.9, 124.7, 124.6, 123.0, 120.0, 114.6, 113.9, 105.8, 101.4, 69.93, 68.81, 65.29. HRMS (*m*/*z*): calcd. for C₅₅H₄₀O₅, 780.2876; found, 780.2817.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3-(1-pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyl Bromide [4c]. Carbon tetrabromide (988 mg, 2.98 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (782 mg, 2.98 mmol) were added to a solution of 4b (1.86 g, 2.38 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) under Ar. After 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of water (40 mL). After being stirred for 30 min, the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 30 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (30 mL) and was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellow foam. Column chromatography (50% CH₂Cl₂:50% hexanes to 70% CH₂Cl₂:30% hexanes) gave a pale yellow solid in 90% yield, mp 128–129 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.31-7.98 (m, 18 H), 7.35-7.31 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.19 (s, 2 H), 7.08-7.05 (m, 4 H), 6.62 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 2 H), 6.54 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 (s, 4 H), 5.02 (s, 4 H), 4.37 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.0, 159.2, 139.8, 138.4, 131.6, 131.2, 130.8, 129.8, 129.7, 129.3, 128.1, 127.6, 127.4, 126.9, 126.0, 125.4, 125.4, 124.9, 124.7, 124.7, 123.0, 120.1, 114.7, 114.0, 108.3, 102.2, 70.01, 68.84, 33.52. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₅₅H₃₉O₄Br, 842.2032; found, 842.2037.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis[3-(1-pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyl (3-*N*,*N*-Dimethylaminophenyl) Ether [4d]. A suspension of 3-(dimethylamino)phenol (66 mg, 0.048 mmol), K₂CO₃ (80 mg, 0.58 mmol),

and 18-crown-6 ether (25 mg, 0.096 mmol) in acetone (30 mL) was heated at reflux for 0.5 h before neat 4c (410 mg, 0.48 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 17 h before acetone was removed in vacuo. The product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL), and the resulting solution was washed with distilled water (2 \times 25 mL). The organic layer then was washed with brine (25 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product, a very faint yellow solid, was isolated in 45% yield by column chromatography (50% CH2-Cl₂:50% hexanes increasing to 100% CH₂Cl₂), mp 78 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.29–7.97 (m, 18 H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (s, 2 H), 7.20–7.03 (m, 1 H), 7.06–7.03 (m, 4 H), 6.71 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.58 (m, 1 H), 6.36 (m, 2 H), 5.72 (s, 4 H), 5.04 (s, 4 H), 4.97 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.1, 159.9, 159.2, 152.0, 139.9, 138.6, 131.5, 131.2, 130.7, 129.7, 129.7, 129.7, 129.2, 128.0, 127.6, 127.4, 126.9, 126.0, 125.4, 125.4, 124.9, 124.7, 124.6, 123.0, 120.1, 114.6, 113.9, 106.5, 106.0, 102.2, 101.5, 100.1, 69.96, 69.82, 68.79, 40.53. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₆₃H₄₉NO₅, 899.3611; found, 899.3615.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis{3,5-bis-[3-(1-pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyloxy benzyl Alcohol [5a]. A suspension of 3,5-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol (140 mg, 1.0 mmol), K₂CO₃ (360 mg, 2.6 mmol), and 18crown-6 ether (50 mg, 0.2 mmol) in acetone (60 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 1 h before neat 4c (1.8 g, 2.2 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 48 h. Dry benzene (15 mL) was added in an attempt to solubilize a yellow film coating the flask. After a total reflux time of 72 h, solvent was removed in vacuo. The product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 100 mL), and the resulting solution was extracted with distilled water (2 \times 200 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (250 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a pale yellow solid. Column chromatography (50% CH₂Cl₂: 50% hexanes increasing polarity to 100% CH2Cl2 to 95% CH2Cl2:5% methanol) gave a pale yellow solid in 95% yield, mp 113-115 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.21-7.93 (m, 36 H), 7.34-7.24 (m, 4 H), 7.14-7.13 (m, 4 H), 7.03–6.97 (m, 12 H), 6.61 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.52– 6.51 (m, 2 H), 6.46 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.64 (s, 8 H), 4.97 (s, 8 H), 4.88 (s, 4 H), 4.51 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.0, 159.9, 159.1, 143.6, 139.3, 138.5, 131.4, 131.1, 130.6, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 126.7, 125.9, 125.2, 124.7, 124.5, 122.8, 120.0, 114.5, 113.9, 106.4, 105.6, 101.5, 101.2, 69.85, 69.78, 68.58, 64.98. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C₁₁₇H₈₄O₁₁, 1664.6014; found, 1664.3024

Preparation of 3,5-Bis{3,5-bis-[3-(1-pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyloxy } benzyl Bromide [5b]. Carbon tetrabromide (300 mg, 0.91 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (240 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added to a solution of 5a (1.21 g, 0.727 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL) under Ar. After 1 h, carbon tetrabromide (300 mg) and triphenylphosphine (240 mg) were added. After an additional hour, the reaction was quenched by the addition of water (50 mL). After having been stirred for 15 min, the mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel, and water (50 mL) and dichloromethane (100 mL) were added. Layers were separated, and the aqueous portion was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL) and was concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography (75% CH2Cl2:25% hexanes) yielded a pale beige solid (97%), mp 105-109 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.18–7.91 (m, 36 H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 4 H), 7.13 (s, 4 H), 6.99–6.97 (m, 8 H), 6.59 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 4 H), 6.55 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.53 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.46 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.61 (s,

8 H), 4.96 (s, 8 H), 4.84 (s, 4 H), 4.31 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.1, 159.2, 139.0, 138.5, 131.5, 131.2, 130.7, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 126.8, 125.9, 125.3, 124.9, 124.6, 124.5, 122.9, 120.0, 114.6, 113.9, 108.2, 106.4, 101.7, 69.9, 69.9, 68.7, 33.6. HRMS (*m*/*z*): calcd. for C₁₁₇H₈₄O₁₀Br, 1727.5287; found, 1727.5269.

Preparation of 3,5-Bis{3,5-bis-[3-(1-pyrenylmethyloxy)benzyloxy]benzyloxy}benzyl (3-N,N-Dimethylaminophenyl) Ether [5c]. A suspension of 3-(dimethylamino)phenol (32 mg, 0.23 mmol), K₂CO₃ (32 mg, 0.23 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (3.0 mg, 0.010 mmol) in acetone (15 mL) was heated at reflux for 0.5 h before neat 5b (40 mg, 0.023 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux overnight before acetone was removed. The burgundy-colored residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL), and the resulting solution was washed with distilled water (2 \times 25 mL). The organic layer then was washed with brine (25 mL) and concentrated in vacuo. The product, a very faint yellow film, was isolated in 83% yield by column chromatography (50% CH₂Cl₂:50% hexanes increasing to 75% CH₂Cl₂:25% hexanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.20–7.80 (m, 36 H), 7.26 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 4 H), 7.14 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 4 H), 7.08–7.06 (m, 1 H), 6.99 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4 H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4 H), 6.64 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.61 (d, J =2.3 Hz, 4 H), 6.52 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.49 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.30-6.29 (m, 3 H), 5.64 (s, 8 H), 4.97 (s, 8 H), 4.90 (s, 2 H), 4.89 (s, 4 H), 2.82 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.1, 160.0, 159.2, 139.9, 139.3, 138.6, 131.5, 131.2, 130.7, 129.8, 129.7, 129.7, 129.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.3, 126.8, 126.0, 125.3, 124.9, 124.6, 123.0, 120.0, 114.7, 113.9, 110.1, 106.5, 106.4, 106.4, 106.0, 102.2, 102.2, 101.6, 101.5, 100.1, 69.9, 69.9, 69.8, 68.7, 40.5. HRMS (m/z): calcd. for C125H94-NO₁₁, 1784.6827; found, 1784.6883.

Preparation of 3,5-Dihydroxybenzyl Alcohol [29654-55-5] by a Modified Procedure.^{24–26} Excess 1 M solution of borane in tetrahydrofuran (260 mL) was added dropwise over an 80-min period to a 0 °C solution of 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (Pfaltz and Bauer, 12.5 g) in anhydrous THF (40 mL) under Ar. A white precipitate formed after \sim 50 mL of borane solution was added. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over a 2 h period, stirred overnight at room temperature, and then heated at reflux for an additional 2 h. Distilled water (80 mL) was added dropwise to the cooled reaction mixture. The resulting clear solution was allowed to stir for 45 min before being transferred to a separatory funnel. Brine (~50 mL) was added, and the layers were separated. Excess NaCl was added to the aqueous layer, which was subsequently extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 75 \text{ mL})$. The combined organics were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a pale yellow solid. The solid was recrystallized from acetone/ hexanes to give the product in 76-81% yield.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy and from the Texas Advanced Research Program is gratefully acknowledged. G.S. wishes to thank Dr. D. V. O'Connor for assistance with single photon counting experiments, T. A. Rhodes for assistance with the transient absorption experiments, and Dr. H. H. Fox for many helpful discussions.

JA954021I